

Abstract No. 0637

Presentation Main Conference Individual Paper

Title

Educational research: Evolving forms of representation which communicate relationally dynamic energy-flowing values.

Background

Snow (2001) offered a challenge to find ways of making the embodied knowledge of educators public and Eisner (1997) advocated the creation of representational forms that are appropriate for enquiring into educational relationships, which are complex and relationally dynamic.

More recently Bruce Ferguson (2008), drawing on her New Zealand experience, began a dialogue in *Research Intelligence*:

'It takes courage and open-mindedness for people accustomed to and trained in 'traditional' research processes to consider and even embrace alternative ways of researching and of presenting that research. But it will validate forms of research that can convey knowledge not easily encapsulated just within pages of written text and work to overcome those whose knowledge and skills have been, in the past, inappropriately excluded.' (pp.24-25)

The dialogue was extended by Laidlaw (2008), talking from a perspective from China, Alder-Colins (2008), as a Buddhist priest in Japan, Whitehead (2008a) and Huxtable (2009) in Britain. More lately the epistemology transformation of educational knowledge, called for by Schön (1995) has been the subject of a keynote symposium at the 2009 BERA annual conference: *Explicating A New Epistemology For Educational Knowledge With Educational Responsibility*.

Research Questions

In this self-study of my professional practice I make a contribution to educational knowledge through explicating the relationally dynamic standards of judgment that can be used to validate and legitimate my embodied educational knowledge in the Academy.

The explanations of educational influence in my own learning, in the learning of others and in the learning of social formations include the multimedia narratives of the teachers and pupils whose work I have supported. They include analyses of, and creative educational responses to, government and local government policies implementation of the Every Child Matters agenda. The explanations of educational influence I present include the recognition, expression, clarification and communication of energy flowing values of loving recognition, respectful connectedness and educational responsibility.

Methods

The living theory methodology (Whitehead, 2009) developed in this thesis draws insights from a range of methods and includes a multi-media narrative to explicate the meanings of the energy flowing values and understandings that constitute the explanatory principles of educational influences in the thesis. Rigour is enhanced using the methods advocated by Winter (1989) and social validity is enhanced using the principles advocated by Habermas (1976, 2002).

Frame

The paper draws on:

- Whitehead's (1989, 2008) living theory and living theory methodology.
- Hymer's (2007) generative-transformational framework for gift creation.

- Rayner's (2005) idea of inclusionality.
- Biesta's (2006) ideas on moving beyond a language of learning into a language of education through the exercise of educational responsibility.

Research findings

The significance of the paper is in the contribution it makes to an educational knowledge-base of practice, theory and systemic influence, in the development of a new, inclusional educational epistemology.

References

Adler-Collins, J. P. (2008) Creating New Forms of Living Educational Theories Through Collaborative Educational Research From Eastern And Western Contexts: A response to Jack Whitehead. *Research Intelligence* 104,17-18.

Biesta, G. J. J. (2006) *Beyond Learning; Democratic Education for a Human Future*. Boulder; Paradigm Publishers.

Bruce Ferguson, P. (2008) Increasing Inclusion in Educational Research: Reflections from New Zealand. *Research Intelligence* 102,24-25.

Eisner, E. (1988) The Primacy of Experience and the Politics of Method, *Educational Researcher*, Vol. 17, No. 5, 15-20.

Eisner, E. (1997) The Promise and Perils of Alternative Forms of Data Representation. *Educational Researcher*, Vol. 26, No. 6, 4-10.

Habermas, J. (2002) *The Inclusion Of The Other: Studies In Political Theory*. Oxford; Polity.

Huxtable, M. (2009) How do we contribute to an educational knowledge base? A response to Whitehead and a challenge to BERJ. *107*. 25-26.

Hymer, B. (2007) How do I understand and communicate my values and beliefs in my work as an educator in the field of giftedness? EdD Educational Psychology Thesis, University of Newcastle. Retrieved 1st August 2007 from <http://people.bath.ac.uk/edsajw/hymer.shtml>

Laidlaw, M. (2008) Increasing Inclusion In Educational Research: A Response To Pip Bruce Ferguson And Jack Whitehead. *Research Intelligence* 104,16-17.

Rayner, A. (2005) Essays and Talks about 'Inclusionality' by Alan Rayner. Retrieved 8th December 2008 from <http://people.bath.ac.uk/bssadmr/inclusionality/>.

Schön, D. (1995) The New Scholarship Requires a New Epistemology. *Change*. November/December pp. 27 - 34.

Snow, C. E. (2001) Knowing What We Know: Children, Teachers, Researchers. Presidential Address to AERA, 2001, in Seattle, in *Educational Researcher*, Vol. 30, No.7, pp.3-9.

Whitehead, J. (1989) Creating a living educational theory from questions of the kind, 'How do I improve my practice?' *Cambridge Journal of Education*, Vol. 19, No.1, pp. 41-52.

Whitehead, J. (2008a) An Epistemological Transformation in what counts as Educational Knowledge: Responses to Laidlaw and Adler-Collins. *Research Intelligence*, 105, 28-29.

Whitehead, J. (2008b) Using A Living Theory Methodology In Improving Practice And Generating Educational Knowledge in Living Theories. Educational Journal of Living Theories, Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 103-126. Retrieved 8 December 2008 from <http://ejolts.net/node/80>

Winter, R. (1989) Learning from Experience. London; Falmer.